

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,344	04/01/2004	Yoshiaki Sakagami	59406.00016	5350
32994 7590 10/20/2009 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LL.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			RICE, ELISA M	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/814,344	SAKAGAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ELISA M. RICE	2624	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires a months from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Examiner note: 1 to X 11s checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension is under 57 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on
Filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(c) I have are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>
7. ☒ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-10.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and

- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/VIKKRAM BALI/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Elisa M Rice/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed in column 5, lines 17-22. "the floating type robots are communicated with one another, so that the information obtained by respective robots are used commonly as the common information, on the basis of which their movements are scheduled and executed to cooperatively conduct the information supply or the monitoring entirely." This section was not relied upon in the rejection of claim 1 and 10 to teach transmitting the current position of the robot. However, since the information transmitted from one robot to another is the basis for which the movement of the robots are scheduled and executed to cooperatively conduct the information supply or the monitoring entirely, this information must include current position information of other robot in association with the other monitored information in order to provide my enamingful information in the widely monitored area upon which cooperative action and movement planning by the robots. The communication device is an image transmitting genans in that it transmits image, audio and/or other information to an external device. In addition, the image display device such as an information terminal is also an image transmitting means and it also provides information about the current position of the robot if it is to be any use in the collection of the monitoring information for managing a wide space used by many attrasmit changing unspecified person as discussed in column 5, lines 40-42. The image display device of Ishii must by its very nature transmit changing information regarding the current position of the robot in a widely monitored space and relay this information to the user in the way of images. In addition, the claimed recitation of claim 1 simply requires "means for monitoring state variables comprising a current position of the robot."